

1 JONATHAN C. DICKEY, SBN 88226  
JDickey@gibsondunn.com  
2 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
1881 Page Mill Road  
3 Palo Alto, California 94304-1211  
Telephone: 650.849.5300  
4 Facsimile: 650.849.5333

5 DANIEL S. FLOYD, SBN 123819  
DFloyd@gibsondunn.com  
6 ALEXANDER K. MIRCHEFF, SBN 245074  
AMircheff@gibsondunn.com  
7 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
333 South Grand Avenue  
8 Los Angeles, California 90071-3197  
Telephone: 213.229.7000  
9 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

10 Attorneys for Defendants  
11 Herbalife Ltd., Michael O. Johnson,  
12 Desmond Walsh, and John DeSimone

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
14 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
15 WESTERN DIVISION  
16

17 IN RE HERBALIFE, LTD.  
18 SECURITIES LITIGATION

Case No. 2:14-CV-02850-DSF (JCGx)

**JOINT STIPULATION  
REQUESTING EXTENSION OF  
PAGE LIMITS**

1 Defendants Herbalife Ltd., Michael O. Johnson, Desmond Walsh, and John  
2 DeSimone (“Defendants”), by and through their counsel of record, submit this  
3 stipulation to request an extension of the page limit for briefing regarding Defendants’  
4 forthcoming Motion to Dismiss.

5 WHEREAS, because Plaintiffs bring complex claims under Section 10(b) and  
6 20(a) of the Exchange Act of 1934, Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss requires extensive  
7 briefing.

8 WHEREAS, Defendants believe that the Local Rule 11-6 limit of 25 pages for  
9 their Motion to Dismiss is insufficient because the allegations in the Amended  
10 Complaint span over 200 paragraphs.

11 WHEREAS, both Defendants and Plaintiffs agree that a 35-page limit for  
12 Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss is appropriate to allow the issues to be adequately  
13 addressed.

14 WHEREAS, both Defendants and Plaintiffs agree that a 35-page limit for  
15 Plaintiff’s opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss is appropriate to allow for the  
16 issues to be adequately addressed.

17 WHEREAS, both Defendants and Plaintiffs submit that good cause exists for  
18 extending the page limits for their briefs regarding the Motion to Dismiss.

19 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED,  
20 SUBJECT TO APPROVAL OF THE COURT, AS FOLLOWS:

- 21 1) The page limit for Defendants’ forthcoming Motion to Dismiss shall be  
22 extended to 35 pages;
  - 23 2) The page limit for Plaintiffs’ opposition to the Motion to Dismiss shall be  
24 extended to 35 pages;
  - 25 3) No deadlines will be affected;
  - 26 4) The parties reserve all rights.
- 27  
28

1 DATED: October 27, 2014

Respectfully Submitted,

2  
3 JONATHAN C. DICKEY  
4 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

5 By: /s/ Jonathan C. Dickey  
6 Jonathan C. Dickey

7 Attorneys for Defendants

8  
9 DATED: October 27, 2014

LESTER HOOKER  
SAXENA WHITE

10  
11 By: /s/ Lester Hooker  
Lester Hooker

12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, declare:

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 333 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90071. On October 28, 2014, I caused the foregoing document to be served as follows:

**[X]** by electronically filing the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such electronic filing to counsel of record for all parties by operation of the Court's CM/ECF System.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on October 28, 2014, at Los Angeles, California.



Alexander K. Mircheff

STIPULATION REQUESTING EXTENSION OF PAGE LIMITS